UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,980	08/15/2006	Jorn Borgert	2004P00435WOUS	8813
24737 7590 03/15/2012 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			GUPTA, VANI	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, N I 10310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3777	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/15/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/597,980	Applicant(s) BORGERT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
VANI GUPTA	3777

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affic allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant davit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) on. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing da	ite of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curre the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINA	, , , ,					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if check mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ear NOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally sed. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance win Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CA) Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time periomaterial AMENDMENTS 	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of					
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to	<u> </u>					
a) They raise new issues that would require further considerati	on and/or search (see NOTE below);					
b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
	d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if allowable claim(s). 	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will r new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appearance. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. ☐ Other: TATUS OF CLAIMS						
4. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: .						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-9 and 11.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
/Tse Chen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777	/Vani Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3777					
,	Examinor, factorial of Fr					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7, Khan et al. provides a catheter with guidewire. Both the catheter body and inner guidwire may comprise radiofrequency tracking coils ([0005], [0008], [0010]). The radiofrequency coils in response to a magnetic field gradient, transmit magnetic resonance signals that are detected by another component for processing ([0007], [0010], [0022 - 0024]). Thus, one has "wherein at least one of the localizers contains an independent source for electromagnetic or acoustic radiation."